

Research & Innovation Office

Standard Operating Procedure St Luke's Hospice

Maintaining a study site file

SOP Number	SLHSOP004	Version Number	1.2	
Date Effective	23/06/2025	Author	Clare Pye Research & Innovation Manager	
Related SOP's:		Document Summary:		
Research Policies &	procedures. V3. Dated		he processes for the	
21/01/2025		_	torage of research tion in the study site file.	
SLHSOP-001. Inforr	med consent. V1 dated			
23/06/2025				
SLHSOP002. Archiv	ing. V1 dated			
23/06/2025				
SLHSOP003. Docum	nenting Source data. V1			
dated 23/06/2025				
Approved by (name & Role)	Dr Paul Taylor Head of Research - SLH	Date	14/04/2025	
Review date – 3y	rs from date effective			

CONTROLLED DOCUMENT – DO NOT COPY

Standard Operating Procedure: Research & Innovation Office

Maintaining a study site file

Contents Liability & Copyright Statement	3
Acronyms	3
Introduction	
Purpose	4
Roles and responsibilities	
Specifics of this SOP	6
Trial Master File (TMF)	6
Investigator site file (ISF)	
Version Control	
References	10
Appendices	12
Appendix 1 – File note	
Appendix 2 – Trial Master File/Investigator Site File	

Liability & Copyright Statement

"The template documents are for general informational purposes only and do not constitute legal, financial, or professional advice. Whilst we strive to ensure the accuracy and relevance of these templates, we do not guarantee that they meet all legal requirements or are suitable for your specific needs. Use of these templates is at your own risk and these templates may well be changed, updated, or removed at any time. We recommend consulting a qualified professional to review and customise any document to fit your circumstances and comply with applicable laws and regulations. We assume no responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions in the templates or for any consequences arising from their use. By downloading or using these templates, you acknowledge and accept this disclaimer".

© 2025 Wilkes Institute, St Luke's Hospice, Sheffield. Registered charity number 254402

This material is provided by the Wilkes Institute, the research and education arm of St Luke's Hospice, Sheffield. It is intended for educational and informational purposes only and does not constitute medical advice or professional guidance. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means—electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise—without prior written permission from St Luke's Hospice. If referencing or quoting this material, please attribute it as: Wilkes Institute, St Luke's Hospice, Sheffield (2025).

[SLHSOP004 – Maintaining a Study Site File]

Acronyms

CI – Chief Investigator

PI- Principal Investigator

CTIMP - Clinical Trial of an Investigational Medicinal Product

SOP – Standard Operating Procedure

R&IO – Research & Innovation Office

SLH – St Luke's Hospice

TMF - Trial Master File

CRFs - Case Report Forms

ISF - Investigator Site File

SLH – St Luke's Hospice

Page 4 of 20

Introduction

An investigator site file (also known as a study file or site file) is a standard filing

system that allows the effective storage of essential study documents. Collectively,

these documents should enable both the conduct of the clinical trial and the quality of

the data produced to be evaluated. Essential documents are defined as: "those

documents which individually and collectively permit evaluation of the conduct of the

trial and the quality of the data produced. These documents serve to demonstrate the

compliance of the investigator, Sponsor, and monitor with the standards of GCP and

with all applicable regulatory requirements".

Under the Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004 [Statutory

Instrument 2004/1031], as amended (the Regulations), it is a legal requirement to

maintain essential documentation for all clinical trials of investigational medicinal

products (CTIMPs).

Additionally, under the Research Governance Framework, the principles of Good

Clinical Practice (GCP), including essential documents maintenance, should be applied

to all research involving patients, other clients, staff, and their data.

Purpose

To describe procedures for maintaining essential documentation within a study file.

Note that not all documents will be required for every project - the contents of the

study file will therefore differ according to the nature of the study and you should

interpret this information in the context of your own project. Similarly, the version

control procedure is an example that may be followed, if required, or amended to

meet specific project requirements.

Page 5 of 20

Roles and responsibilities

It is the responsibility of the Research & Innovation Office (R&IO) to make Hospice

R&I SOPs available to all research active staff working on Hospice approved research

studies.

It is the responsibility of the study Chief Investigator (CI), local Principal Investigator

(PI) or R&IO to ensure that up-to-date copies of Hospice R&I SOPs are available to

research staff.

All SOPs can be accessed on the Hospice website.

It is the responsibility of the study CI, local PI and R&IO to ensure that research

staff are aware of the research SOPs and know how to access the most up to date

versions. In the Investigator Site File (ISF) a file note will need to be prepared to

detail the online location of the Hospice SOPs (Appendix 1).

It is the responsibility of the study CI or PI to designate if the SOPs of another

organisation are to be followed for a study and to inform the R&IO. For example

those of a Clinical Research Network, Commercial sponsor or University. If there is

significant conflict between the external SOP and the Hospice SOP it is the

responsibility of the CI or PI to resolve these with the R&IO prior to starting the

study. If external SOPs are being followed, copies of each will need to be filed in the

site file.

It is the personal responsibility of all staff to follow Hospice (or the designated

alternative organisations) procedural documents.

The Research & Innovation Office is responsible for managing Hospice R&I SOPs

including their approval, dissemination and archiving. All Hospice R&I SOPs must be

made available and published on the Hospice website.

Page 6 of 20

Specifics of this SOP

The local CI/PI is responsible for ensuring that a study file is set up and maintained

on site throughout the study. Where this task is delegated to another member of the

research team such as the Research Nurse/Administrator, etc. this should be

recorded in the delegation of duties log.

The local CI/PI is responsible for making the study file available for audit, monitoring

or inspection by the Hospice, sponsor or regulatory authority. They must also

provide the R&IO with copies of monitor/audit reports that are conducted by other

parties.

For multi-site studies, the sponsor is responsible for co-ordinating all participating

sites, including ensuring that they are informed of any amendments to the study and

are supplied with any updated study documentation and copies of annual reports in

a timely fashion. If these responsibilities are delegated to another individual or

organisation, this must be documented prior to the start of the study.

Trial Master File (TMF)

The Trial Master File (TMF) is held at the main coordinating site (usually CI's site)

and should allow for reconstruction of conduct in the trial for audit purposes. For

multi-site studies, copies of essential documents are kept at each participating site in

their own Investigator Site File (ISF).

The regulatory and approvals documents within the TMF should be maintained

alongside Case Report Forms (CRFs) and source documentation. Not all documents

will be of relevance to every project – the content of the TMF will therefore differ

according to the nature of the study. For example, most of the essential documents

must legally be maintained for Clinical Trials of Investigational Medicinal Products

(CTIMPs) whereas, for solely observational studies, certain documents will not be

applicable. Therefore, the SOP should be interpreted in the context of the individual

project.

Investigator site file (ISF)

A separate ISF must be established for every research project at every site at the

beginning of a trial. If the trial has a commercial sponsor, a study file and

instructions for organising the documentation may be provided. Otherwise, the file

should include a contents page with documentation arranged in appropriate sections

according to local procedure, (Appendix 2).

The Trial Master File Index (Appendix 2) provides an extensive list of essential

documents that may be needed in the TMF/ISF. Not all sections and documents will

be applicable for every project (i.e. masters student), it is the responsibility of the PI

to ensure the necessary documents are stored appropriately.

The ISF should be labelled with the short title, protocol number, IRAS project code,

PI name and other information as determined by the study sponsor. The files should

be also labelled numerically, but the total number of existing files must also be

indicated i.e. File 1 of 1, File 1 of 2.

Study details and key contact information should be available at the front of the ISF,

including the study team and contact details.

If any documents are stored separately from the ISF i.e. research CVs and GCP

certificates, a file note should be placed in the relevant section detailing the location

of the documents.

All correspondence should be retained; however, only critical documents should be

stored in the study file as a hard copy. These would include agreements or

significant discussion regarding trial administration, protocol violations, trial conduct

and adverse event reporting.

Page 8 of 20

The ISF must remain up to date at all times. It should be regularly added to and

maintained by the PI or delegated individual. It is best practice to file new

information and documents when they are received. Regular maintenance and

checking of documents should be done.

At the end of the study, the ISF must be archived. Please see 'SLHSOP - 002

Arching' for archiving information.

All study-related documentation should be stored securely in a restricted-access area

and should not be removed from Hospice premises unless this is required by the

protocol.

If an electronic ISF is being used in addition or instead of a physical ISF, the PI or

delegated individual must inform the R&IO of its location and details on how it can

be accessed.

Any or all of the documents in the ISF, or referenced in the ISF but stored

elsewhere, may be subject to, and should be available for monitoring, audit or

inspection by the Hospice, sponsor or regulatory authority.

To assist researchers in compiling a study file, the following template documents can

be requested from the Research & Innovation Office:

> Study information/ contact page

> Investigator CV template

Delegation of Duties Log

Adverse event/ serious adverse event forms

Protocol deviation log

Screening and enrolment log

Version Control

All documents that are submitted for approval (MHRA, ethics, sponsor, HRA) should be subject to systematic version control, with the version and date indicated in the document header/footer. A suggested method of version control, which may be adjusted in line with local conventions, is as follows:

Prior to seeking the relevant approvals, the first draft of a document is labelled 'v0.1' and dated. Subsequent drafts are labelled 'v0.2, v0.3...' etc. and dated. A 'Draft' watermark may also be placed in the background of the document (In Word: Format/Background/Printed Watermark).

Once a final version of the document has been produced, it is labelled 'v1.0' and dated, and if a watermark has been added, this is removed; this is the version that is submitted for the relevant approvals.

If any of the reviewing bodies request alterations to a document prior to granting approval, this is labelled 'v1.1, v1.2...' etc. and dated whilst being drafted and reviewed internally. The revised version re-submitted for approval is labelled 'v2.0' and dated.

During the course of the project, if minor amendments/non-substantial amendments (as defined by HRA https://www.hra.nhs.uk/approvals-amendments/amending-approval/ are made to a study document, this is re-versioned by incrementing the decimal place only – 'v2.1, 2.2...' etc. and dated. Note that while there is no requirement to notify the main REC of non-substantial amendments, it is best practice to provide updated study documents for information to the bodies that have approved them.

If substantial amendments (as defined by HRA) are made to a study document, this is re-versioned by incrementing the whole version number on the document submitted for approval each time a new amendment is submitted – 'v3.0, v4.0...' etc. and dated. Any substantial amendments to documents should be approved by the

Page 10 of 20

relevant authorities **prior** to implementing any proposed changes. New versions of

documents and their corresponding approvals should also be supplied to the R&IO.

There is no requirement for the version number on all study documents to match.

Every document should be versioned independently, and only re-versioned if

changes have been made to them. If there are documents that are very similar, i.e.

multiple patient information sheets, the title should be repeated in the document

header/ footer to avoid confusion.

Only one up to date version of any given document should be in use at any one

time, and the version number and date should match those listed in the ethics

favourable opinion/ most recent amendment approval letter.

Once approvals have been given for a new document, a copy of the older version of

the document must be filed in the ISF. The previous version must be crossed

through, marked as superseded and initialled and dated. All other copies should be

destroyed.

Where study documents are numerous and/ or frequently amended and/ or sent out

to a number of participating sites, a version control document checklist should be

kept in the ISF and updated during the amendment process.

References

UK Policy Framework for Health & Social Care Research (2023): **UK Policy**

Framework for Health and Social Care Research - Health Research Authority

NIHR Trial Master File Toolkit - Trial Master File | Clinical Trials Toolkit

ICH Topic E 6 (R2) Guideline for Good Clinical Practice -

https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/ich-e6-r2-good-clinical-practice-scientific-guideline

St Luke's Registered Charity No. 254402 SLHSOP004 SOP Version 1.2

Maintaining a study site file. Effective 23/06/2025

Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations 2004 and subsequent amendments - https://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2004/1031/contents

MHRA: Good Clinical Practice for Clinical Trials - https://www.gov.uk/guidance/good-clinical-practice-for-clinical-trials

Appendices

Appendix 1 – File note

File note for the storage and location of on-line Study site file.

The site file for the above-mentioned study will be filed (insert link)			
Print Name:	Signature:	Date:	
		or the above-mentioned study will be filed (inse	

Appendix 2 - Trial Master File/Investigator Site File

Research Governance

Trial Master File* / Investigator Site File*

*delete as appropriate

This guidance applies to all research registered within St Luke's Hospice, Sheffield regardless of design or location.

The Trial Master File / Investigator Site File should contain the essential documents, which individually and collectively permit evaluation of the conduct of a research trial. The contents of the file serve to demonstrate the compliance of the investigator and the research team with the standards of Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and to the requirements of the UK Policy Framework for Health and Social Care Research.

The CI will keep a Trial Master File. The local PI will keep an Investigator Site File. In the case of a single centre study there will often be a single file for both CI and PI, in this case this file will be a Trial Master File.

The documents contained in the file are those that will be audited as part of the research governance process to confirm the validity of the trial conduct.

Those sections which do not apply to an Investigator Site File (where this file is not also acting as a Trial Master File) are greyed out in the ISF column. Some sections and sub-sections may not apply to all projects (i.e. masters students).

Guidance Notes:

Correspondence Sections: Separate topics of correspondence should be spilt into individual wallets. Consider whether a file note would be useful to describe the topic and outcomes.

File Notes: File notes should be used to describe important events / decisions and also to summarise documents or correspondence contained in the file if required.

Trial Master File* / Investigator Site File*

SLH study number:	SLHXXX	
IRAS Number:*		
Trial Registration number: *		
REC Reference:		
MHRA Reference: *	CTA No:	EudraCT No:
Study Title:		
Chief Investigator:		
Principal		
Investigator:		
Sponsor:		
Funder:*		

*N/A depending on study

Emergency Contacts:

	Name	Telephone Number	Email
Chief* Investigator			
Principal* Investigator			
Sub Investigator*			
Research Nurse*			
Pharmacy*			
Out of Hours*			

St Luke's Registered Charity No. 254402 SLHSOP004 SOP Version 1.2 Maintaining a study site file. Effective 23/06/2025

R&IO*		

0.0	Contents		
		TMF	ISF
0.1	Contents Page		
0.2	Current Version Control Tracking Spreadsheet		
Co	ore Study Documents (current approved)		
		TMF	ISF
1.1	Protocol		
	Protocol signature page *		
1.2	Participant Information Sheet(s) *		
1.3	Informed Consent Form(s) *		
1.4	Information for GPs or consultants *		
1.5	Letters to Participants *		
1.6	Questionnaires *		
1.7	Participant Card / Diary *		
1.8	Adverts for Recruitment *		
1.9	Interview Schedule *		
1.10	Other REC approved documents		
2.0	Independent Scientific Review		
		TMF	ISF
2.1	Report / Evidence of Independent Scientific Review *		
2.2	Sponsor Protocol Review Checklist *		
2.3	Sponsor Risk Assessment *		
2.4	Statistical Review*		
3.0	Ethics and HRA		
		TNAF	ICE
3.1	Research Ethics Committee Approval letter	TMF	ISF
3.2	HRA/University Approval letter		
3.3			
3.4	Application forms (original REC form or IRAS form, as applicable) Amendments split by submission number (e.g. SA01) (individual		
3. 4	wallets to include: application form, approval from REC and HRA)		
3.5	CARG Documents		
3.6			
٥.٥	Annual Progress Reports (copy of the progress report, submission cover letter/email and/or REC acknowledgement letter)*		
3.7	Annual Safety Reports (copy of the submission cover letter/email		
	and/or REC acknowledgement letter)*		
3.8	Relevant correspondence with the REC Committee *		

4.0	Regulatory Documents		
		TMF	ISF
4.1	MHRA Notice of No Objection *		
4.2	Subsequent / Final MHRA Application package, including documents submitted to MHRA that are not filed elsewhere *		
4.3	MHRA Grounds for Non-Acceptance *		
4.4	Original MHRA Application package, including documents submitted to MHRA that are not filed elsewhere *		
4.5	MHRA Amendments split by submission number (e.g. SA01) (individual wallets to include: application form, approval from MHRA)*		
4.6	Current Investigator Brochure / SmPC / IMP Dossier / Technical File / CE-marked device instructions for use *		
4.7	DSUR (copy of the DSUR and submission cover letter)*		
4.8	Gene Therapy Advisory Committee documents *		
4.9	Relevant correspondence with the MHRA*		
5.0	Research Governance Documents		
		TMF	ISF
5.1	SLH Research Management approval form		
	SLH Capacity & Capability Approval letter (as applicable) SLH Low risk email confirmation email*		
5.2	SLH Amendment Approval Letters/email*		
5.3	Confirmation of Capacity and Capability and Amendment approval from participating sites (if this is maintained in a separate file, there should be a File Note to detail location)*		
5.4	Clinicaltrials.gov / ISRCTN Registration *		
5.5	Insurance Certificate / Indemnity arrangements		
5.6	Support Services information / approvals *		
5.7	ARSAC documentation / IRMER documentation *		
6.0	Financial Management		
		TMF	ISF
6.1	SLH Signed site agreement		
	Other signed financial agreements, service agreements, technical		
	agreements, material transfer agreements, and collaboration		
	agreements *		
	Laboratory Agreement *		
	Agreements with participating sites (if this is maintained in a separate file, there should be a File Note to detail location)*		
6.2	SoECAT Summary (as this is a large excel spreadsheet, the remainder of the document is available electronically)*		
6.3	Funding application forms *		
6.4	Confirmation of funding *		

7.0	Investigator, Facilities and Research Team		
		TMF	ISF
7.1	Chief Investigator CV	1	1-0.
7.2	Local Principal Investigator CV		1
7.3	Co-investigators CVs		
7.4	Team members GCP Certificates		
7.5	Team member SOP Training Records and evidence of other training, as required by the study		
7.6	PI Responsibilities		
7.7	Delegation log template		
7.8	Delegation log (this should include signature log of all members of the research team and list of tasks and responsibilities delegated to co-investigators)		
7.9	Honorary Contracts / SLH Letters of Access		
8.0	Participant Information		
		TMF	ISF
8.1	Original signed consent forms *		
8.2	Participant screening, enrolment and/or tracking log templates *		
8.3	Completed participant screening log *		
	Completed participant enrolment log *		
	Completed participant tracking log *		
8.4	Sample tracking log (for stored or shipped samples) template *		
8.5	Completed sample tracking log (for stored or shipped samples) *		
8.6	Record of tapes and transcripts of interviews and focus groups *		
8.7	Completed Case Report Forms *		
8.8	SAE reports *		
8.9	SAE log *		
8.10	SUSAR reports *		
8.11	SAE Trend Analysis*		
9.0	Project Management		
		TMF	ISF
9.1	Terms of reference and minutes or other records of Trial Steering Committee (TSC) *		
	Terms of reference and minutes or other records of Trial Management Group (TMG) *		
	Terms of reference and minutes or other records of Data Monitoring and Ethics Committee (DMEC) *		
9.2	Study Specific Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) *		
9.3	Laboratory Accreditation certificate *		
9.4	Normal laboratory values *		
9.5	Template of all Case Report Forms / data collection sheets *		
9.6	Sponsor approval *		

9.7	Details of the study database		
10.0 held)*	Pharmacy / IMP Management (file note for location if separate	pharm	acy file
		TMF	ISF
10.1	Pharmacy Arrangements (dispensing guide) *		
10.2	Randomisation schedule/Treatment allocation procedures *		
10.3	Code Break procedures/SOP *		
10.4	Prescribing arrangements/ template prescription *		
10.5	Completed IMP prescriptions *		
10.6	Dispensing log *		
10.7	Drug Accountability log template *		
10.8	Drug Accountability records (including completed accountability logs, drug shipment, patient compliance records and drug destruction records) *		
10.9	Template of approved drug label(s) *		
10.10	IMP storage arrangements (including temperature log)*		
	and the second s		
11.0	Monitoring and Auditing		
		TMF	ISF
11.1	CI Management and Menitoring Arrangements*	I IVIT	156
11.1	CI Management and Monitoring Arrangements *		
11.2	Sponsor Management and Monitoring Arrangements *		
11.3	Study specific monitoring templates * Records of internal team monitoring *		
11.4	records or internal team monitoring		
11.4	Completed monitoring reports for participating sites (if this is maintained in a separate file, there should be a File Note to detail location)		
11.5	Protocol non-compliance reports and log *		
11.6	Protocol non-compliance reports and log for participating sites (if this is maintained in a separate file, there should be a File Note to detail location)*		
11.7	Site Initiation Documents *		
12.0	Study Closure		
12.0	Study Closure		
		TMF	ISF
12.1	Archiving arrangements / confirmation of archived documents *		
12.2	Dissemination: plans for/record of *	1	
12.3	End of study declaration to REC *		
	End of study declaration to MHRA *		
12.4	Final Report to REC*		
	EudraCT report or Final Report to MHRA *		
	Email to MHRA confirming EudraCT upload *		
13.0	Correspondence		

		TMF	ISF	
13.1	All relevant trial related correspondence other than as listed elsewhere. Please detail the section to which the correspondence pertains and consider a file note to summarise discussions.			
14.0 Superseded Documents				
		TMF	ISF	
14.1	Copy of all superseded study documents should be filed in order of the contents page above.			

*If applicable